

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8
9 United States of America,
10 Plaintiff,

No. CR08-0814-01-PHX-DGC

ORDER

11 v.

12 Daniel David Rigmaiden (1),
13 Defendant.

14
15 Defendant Daniel Rigmaiden has filed a motion seeking until October 20, 2012 to
16 file his reply in support of his motion to suppress. Doc. 874. The government has
17 responded that it does not oppose the extension, but it asks the Court to place a page limit
18 on Defendant's reply memorandum. Doc. 876.

19 The Court will grant Defendant's motion. Defendant may have until
20 October 20, 2012, to file his reply. The reply is limited to 50 pages. This is ample room
21 to respond to the government's 67-page response, and Defendant is advised that the Court
22 will strike a longer filing. Defendant states that he intends to include additional evidence
23 in the reply. The Court notes that reply memoranda are not the place for new evidence,
24 and that the Court routinely disregards evidence presented for the first time in a reply.

25

26

27

28

